

**From:** [POULSEN Mike](#)  
**To:** [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)  
**Cc:** [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [FARRER David G](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Breastmilk  
**Date:** 04/22/2008 11:12 AM

---

Eric -

Sorry, I didn't mean to sound dismissive. I recognize that these are important issues. Perhaps I should characterize my position as "we need to deal with the breastfeeding pathway; how best do we accomplish that?" You are right that the "pros" heading shouldn't stand alone. I didn't think of a better label. We can discuss this afternoon on the 2 pm call.

- Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov  
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 22, 2008 9:09 AM  
To: POULSEN Mike  
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov; Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov; FARRER David G  
Subject: RE: Breastmilk

If the "cons" are to be other considerations, then so should the "pros." Maybe we need to reorganize this slightly to just lay out the considerations. To be honest, I don't appreciate my concerns being minimized.

Eric

"POULSEN Mike"  
<POULSEN.Mike@de  
g.state.or.us>

04/22/2008 08:58  
AM

To  
Dana Davoli/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
cc  
"FARRER David G"  
<David.G.Farrer@state.or.us>,  
Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA,  
Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA  
Subject  
RE: Breastmilk

Dana -

Looks like I'm the one suggesting bigger changes to the memo. The toxes have had recommendations for two years now, and if anything, we've strengthened our position recently. I think you should revise the memo to include recommendations instead of just issues. Besides, managers like to have recommendations along with issues. I think most of the "cons" are really additional considerations. I have yet to hear a good reason not to include the pathway.

I'm running off to a meeting now, so I'll have to continue checking the calculations later. I think child fish consumption HQ should be 50 to 900 instead of 200 to 800 (from Table 5-45).

- Mike

-----Original Message-----

From: Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov [mailto:Davoli.Dana@epamail.epa.gov]  
Sent: Monday, April 21, 2008 5:15 PM  
To: POULSEN Mike; FARRER David G; Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov;  
Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov  
Subject: RE: Breastmilk

Attached is the issue summary that I prepared for Deb Yamamoto as she will be the first manager in Seattle that we talk to. I thought it would be good to get this out to all four of you today since we are sort of in a time crunch. Eric, I tried to cover your concerns. Mike, please check my numbers in the EXCEL sheet. Mike, as you suggested in your e-mail today, I can talk tomorrow afternoon if any of you want to.

(See attached file: 20080418 Davoli Managers Discussion  
Breastmilk\_issue\_memo.doc)

(See attached file: 20080421Breastfeeding Summary.xls) [attachment  
"20080418 Davoli Managers Discussion Breastmilk\_issue\_memo mtp.doc"  
deleted by Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US]

